## For the Northern District of California

28

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	LOUISIANA PACIFIC CORPORATION,
10	Plaintiff, No. C 09-03529 JSW
11	V.
12	MONEY MARKET 1 INSTITUTIONAL ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE DISCOVERY
13	Defendants.
14	
15	
16	The Court has received a letter dated May 1, 2012 from Plaintiff Louisiana Pacific
17	Corporation which indicates that Defendant Money Market 1 Institutional Investment Dealer
18	("MM1"), although it has emerged from bankruptcy, refuses to participate in discovery.
19	Accordingly, the Court HEREBY ISSUES this order to show cause why the Court should not
20	compel formal discovery responses from MM1 and/or impose monetary sanctions for its failure
21	to fulfill its discovery obligations under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. MM1 shall have
22	until May 11, 2012 to file a written response to this order indicating that it has complied with its
23	discovery obligations or providing good cause for its failure to do so and a proposed expedited
24	schedule for completion of its responses to outstanding discovery requests.
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>	Dated: May 1, 2012  JEFFREY S. WHITE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE